## APPEAL NO. 022620 FILED DECEMBER 4, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Te	exas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB
CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989 Act	). A contested case hearing was held or
September 11, 2002. The hearing officer	determined that the appellant (claimant) dic
not sustain a compensable injury on	, including degenerative join
disease, or have disability. The claimant ar	peals these determinations; the responden
(carrier) responds, seeking affirmance.	•

## **DECISION**

We affirm the hearing officer's decision.

Essentially, the claimant quarrels with the manner in which the hearing officer gave weight and credibility to the evidence. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing. Section 410.165(a). The decision should not be set aside because different inferences and conclusions may be drawn upon review, even when the record contains evidence that would lend itself to different inferences. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied); American Motorists Insurance Co. v. Volentine, 867 S.W.2d 170 (Tex. App.-Beaumont 1993, no writ). The record in this case presented conflicting evidence for the hearing officer to resolve. It is clear that she was not persuaded that claimant injured his back due to riding in a truck seat lacking shock absorption. Considering all the evidence in the record, we cannot agree that the findings of the hearing officer are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be manifestly wrong and unjust. In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951). We therefore affirm the decision and order.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUARANTY COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

	Susan M. Kelley Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts	
Appeals Judge	